

Neutral metalloaminopeptidases APN and MetAP2 as newly discovered anticancer molecular targets of actinomycin D and its simple analogs

Ewelina Węglarz-Tomczak^{1,2}, Michał Talma¹, Mirosław Giurg³, Hans V. Westerhoff², Robert Janowski⁴ and Artur Mucha¹

¹Department of Bioorganic Chemistry, Faculty of Chemistry, Wrocław University of Science and Technology, Wrocław, Poland

²Synthetic Systems Biology and Nuclear Organization, Swammerdam Institute for Life Sciences, Faculty of Science, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

³Department of Organic Chemistry, Faculty of Chemistry, Wrocław University of Science and Technology, Wrocław, Poland

⁴Institute of Structural Biology, Helmholtz Zentrum München-German Research Center for Environmental Health, Neuherberg, Germany

Correspondence to: Ewelina Węglarz-Tomczak, **email:** e.m.weglarz-tomczak@uva.nl

Keywords: metalloaminopeptidases; cancer; actinomycin D; phenoxazones; biological activity

Received: November 11, 2017

Accepted: May 14, 2018

Published: June 29, 2018

Copyright: Węglarz-Tomczak et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 3.0 (CC BY 3.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

ABSTRACT

The potent transcription inhibitor Actinomycin D is used with several cancers. Here, we report the discovery that this naturally occurring antibiotic inhibits two human neutral aminopeptidases, the cell-surface alanine aminopeptidase and intracellular methionine aminopeptidase type 2. These metallo-containing exopeptidases participate in tumor cell expansion and motility and are targets for anticancer therapies. We show that the peptide portions of Actinomycin D and Actinomycin X₂ are not required for effective inhibition, but the loss of these regions changes the mechanism of interaction. Two structurally less complex Actinomycin D analogs containing the phenoxazone chromophores, Questionomycin A and Actinocin, appear to be competitive inhibitors of both aminopeptidases, with potencies similar to the non-competitive macrocyclic parent compound (K_i in the micromolar range). The mode of action for all four compounds and both enzymes was demonstrated by molecular modeling and docking in the corresponding active sites. This knowledge gives new perspectives to Actinomycin D's action on tumors and suggests new avenues and molecules for medical applications.

HIGHLIGHTS

Human MetAP2 and APN are molecular targets of the anticancer drug Actinomycin D.

Actinomycins D and X₂ are non-competitive inhibitors of both exopeptidases.

Simple heteroaromatic analogs share the activity with Actinomycin D.

Questionomycin A and Actinocin show competitive mechanism of inhibition.

INTRODUCTION

Natural products are excellent leads for drug development. Particularly in the areas of antibiotic and anticancer therapies, natural products and their derivatives comprise a significant percentage of clinically used drugs [1]. Actinomycin D (ActD), also known as Dactinomycin, a small molecule naturally produced by *Streptomyces* bacteria [2], was the first antibiotic shown to have anticancer activity. Approved for medical use in

the United States in 1964 and launched under the trade name Cosmegen [3], Dactinomycin has been mostly used as a remedy for a variety of mainly pediatric tumors, such as Wilms' tumor, rhabdomyosarcoma and Ewing's sarcoma [4–9]. ActD is a neutral molecule consisting of a planar phenoxazine ring substituted with two carboxylate-linked cyclic pentapeptides (Figure 1). Its anticancer role has been associated with DNA functionality, leading to RNA, and consequently, protein synthesis inhibition [10]. ActD binds to double and single-stranded DNA (but not to RNA) with its phenoxazine ring intercalating with high specificity between GpC base pairs. Moreover, it stabilizes cleavable topoisomerase I and II complexes with DNA, in which the polypeptide lactone rings occupy a position in the minor groove of the DNA helix or the drug penetrates the topoisomerase-DNA binding area [11, 12]. After years of Actinomycin D usage, its action was also correlated with apoptosis; at low doses, the drug is a potent inducer of apoptosis [13, 14], triggering this process in normal and tumor cells, as well as in cells that rarely undergo apoptosis [15]. It was shown that the molecular mechanism of Actinomycin D-induced apoptosis was associated with activation of the apoptosis FAS surface protein, which is also known as CD95 (cluster of differentiation 95) [16], the non-mitochondrial stress-activated protein/JunN-terminal kinases apoptotic pathway, and with increased expression of the apoptosis regulator BAX [13]. Cells inducing apoptosis in the immune response pathway via Actinomycin D may also be associated with the expression of the CD69 surface antigen [17]. Additionally, the presence of intracellular ATP is necessary for the induction of apoptosis by Actinomycin D [18, 19]. In recent years, intensive research in laboratories and clinics has focused on the correlation between Actinomycin D activity and cellular tumor antigen p53 functionality and expression [20, 21]. ActD induces p53 expression [20, 22]. Apoptosis in patients with mutated or deleted p53 suggests a p53-independent cell death mechanism [23]. However, the function of p53 was restored after low doses of Actinomycin D in various *TP53* wildtype tumor cell lines [22, 24].

2-Amino-3*H*-phenoxazin-3-ones missing the cyclic pentapeptide fragments, such as Actinocin and Questionomycin A (Figure 1), are also naturally produced by *Streptomyces* [25]. They occur in nature as pigments, fungal metabolites and allelo-chemicals [26–28]. Compounds composing the 2-aminophenoxazin-3-one skeleton exhibit antitumor, antimicrobial, and antiviral activities *in vitro* and *in vivo* [29–33]. Soon after the discovery of Actinomycin D and its properties, the anticancer actions of Questionomycin A were also described [31], but the success of Actinomycin D turned attention away from its simpler analogues.

Human alanine aminopeptidase (*HsAPN*) and methionine aminopeptidase type 2 (*HsMetAP2*) are representative metalloproteases that have been targeted

as a therapeutic intervention against pathological disorders. Among others, they participate in proteolytic pathways associated with angiogenesis, apoptosis and proliferation, which makes them interesting objects of oncological research [34–36]. MetAP2 is the best recognized member of the three known intracellular methionine aminopeptidases that catalyze the removal of the N-terminal methionine residue from a number of nascent proteins. This step is required before folding into their functional forms [35, 37]. The enzyme binds two cobalt [37] or manganese ions [38] in its active site. As higher MetAP2 expression was observed in tumor (mesothelioma, [39] neuroblastoma, [40] and colorectal carcinoma [41]) cells compared with normal cells, the enzyme serves as a target for anti-angiogenic compounds of natural origin, such as fumagillin and ovalicin [42]. Selective inhibition of MetAP2 stops vascularization and tumor growth in animal models [43, 44].

Aminopeptidase N is a membrane-bound, zinc-dependent metalloproteinase also known as CD13 (cluster of differentiation 13) [45]. APN specificity is directed towards the cleavage of a neutral or basic amino acid from the N-terminal position of peptides and proteins [46]. In contrast to MetAP2, its active site is accessible to the exterior environment of the cell and the cell membrane anchoring its N-terminus [47]. Aminopeptidase N is described as a moonlighting protease with multiple functions, including antigen presentation. It is also a receptor for some human viruses, e.g., coronaviruses. These functions are connected not only to peptide cleavage but also to endocytosis, signaling and ligation or the inhibition of its enzymatic activity, which might result in complex and systemic effects [48]. APN overexpression has been observed in tumor cells from melanoma [49], renal [50], colon [51], gastric [52, 53], pancreatic [54], and thyroid [55] cancers and on leukemic blasts in acute myeloid leukemia [56]. Compelling studies have implicated APN protease activity in tumor-associated processes, particularly angiogenesis, apoptosis and metastasis [57–59]. Here, we show that Actinomycin D and its analogues inhibit human MetAP2 and APN.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Developments in synthetic ligands of metallo-aminopeptidases, substrates and inhibitors, indicated that not only amino acid and peptide mimetics but also heterocyclic compounds may effectively interact with these enzymes [46, 60–63]. Inspired by these accounts we have suggested the 2-amino-3*H*-phenoxazin-3-one scaffold as capable of binding to the aminopeptidase active sites. Indeed, the well-known antibiotic and anticancer drug Actinomycin D inhibits the two aminopeptidases *HsAPN* and *HsMetAP2* with inhibition constants approximately 10 μ M (Table 1 and Figure 2A). Kinetic studies allowed us to determine the mechanism

Table 1: Inhibitory activities of Actinomycin D and its analogs Actinomycin X₂, Actinocin and Questioniomycin A toward two human neutral aminopeptidases (HsAPN and HsMetAP)

Compound	HsAPN		HsMetAP	
	<i>K_i</i> [μM]*	<i>IC₅₀</i> [μM]	<i>K_i</i> [μM]*	<i>IC₅₀</i> [μM]
Actinomycin D		11.9 ± 1.1		7.37 ± 0.56
Actinomycin X ₂		12.2 ± 1.4		8.33 ± 0.75
Actinocin	6.04 ± 0.51	7.25 ± 1.2	2.04 ± 0.23	2.34 ± 0.62
Questioniomycin A	52.5 ± 2.7	63.0 ± 3.2	30.4 ± 3.2	34.9 ± 3.2

The inhibitors were screened in TRIS buffer. The release of the fluorophore was monitored continuously. The linear portion of the progress curve was used to calculate the velocity. Each experiment was repeated at least three times and the results are presented as the average with standard deviation. For more details, please see the Materials and methods section.

*Calculated based on the Cheng-Prusoff equations for non-competitive and competitive inhibitors [68].

of inhibition of Actinomycin D as non-competitive, with steady-state binding being achieved immediately (Figure 2B). Comparable results (within the experimental error) were obtained for Actinomycin X₂, a structure closely related to ActD. A minor variation in the amino acid composition of the α peptide side chain (Figure 1) did not influence their binding affinities. Despite structural similarity to ActD medicinal properties of Actinomycin X₂ have been scarcely investigated. For example, Actinomycin X₂ was reported to show higher cytotoxicity of the human leukemia cell line HL-60 (*LC₅₀* = 8 nM, *LC₅₀* is the lethal concentration for a half of population) than Actinomycin D (*LC₅₀* = 45 nM) [64]. Further studies proposed Actinomycin X₂ as an inducer of apoptosis of human prostate cancer cells through the mTOR pathway compounded by miRNA144 [65].

The analogs that do not possess the macrocyclic polypeptide fragments, Actinocin (Figure 2C and 2D) and Questioniomycin A, exhibited competitive inhibition

mechanisms for each aminopeptidase. Regarding their potency, they differed significantly from one another. Actinocin was twice as effective as Actinomycin D/X₂ in the case of HsAPN and four times as effective in the case of HsMetAP2. In contrast, Questioniomycin was 10 times less effective; the unsubstituted 3-aminophenoxazone ring gave rise to a five-fold decrease in activity for HsAPN and HsMetAP2 compared to the lead compound. Cytotoxicity of Actinomycin D and Questioniomycin A reported in the literature is visibly different to each other and to some extent corresponds with these results. 2-Aminophenoxazine-3-ones induced apoptosis in many types of cancer cells [67–75], however, in comparable cases of human acute B- and T-lymphoblastic leukemia, cervix carcinoma and larynx carcinoma, the cytotoxicity of Questioniomycin A is up to three orders of magnitude lower (*IC₅₀* = 1–3 μM) than Actinomycin D (*IC₅₀* = 1–8 nM) [67].

The mechanisms of binding for each inhibitor must be significantly different at the molecular level due to the




Figure 1: Structures of Actinomycin D, Actinomycin X₂, Actinocin and Questioniomycin A.

fundamental variations in their structures. This issue was studied in additional detail by molecular modeling. The modeled binding of ActD/Actinomycin X₂ with *Hs*APN (Figure 3) and *Hs*MetAP2 (Figure 4) showed complex sets of contacts based on typical peptide-protein interactions at different conformations. In the case of APN [76], both drugs most typically penetrated the interior of the enzyme and filled the characteristic central cavity, which was apt to accept large peptide substrates (Figure 3A and 3B). The modeled assembly was generally stabilized by a hydrogen bonding network (Figure 3C and 3D), particularly well-defined for Actinomycin X₂.

Arg381 of *Hs*APN has been identified as a residue highly involved in binding. For Actinomycin D its terminal guanidine nitrogen atom forms a convenient hydrogen bond bridge between both C=O groups of the heteroaromatic ring-substituting carboxyamides (2.91 Å and 3.34 Å, respectively, Figure 3C). In contrast, for the most

favorable Actinomycin X₂ conformation (Figure 3D), the corresponding N atom of Arg381 is engaged in interactions with the α cyclic peptide *via* two tight contacts with C=O of D-Val (2.54 Å) and Thr (2.61 Å). The extra oxygen atom of oxoPro is pointed towards the α -nitrogen of the same enzyme residue (3.29 Å). The β peptidyl ring of the inhibitor is also favorably bound by the guanidine residue of Arg829, with distances 2.76 Å and 2.81 Å to C=O of Thr and *N*-MeVal, respectively. The Thr residue is in additional contacts with the hydroxyl group of Ser861 (2.64 Å, *via* C=O) and the carboxylate of Asp855 (2.90 Å, *via* N-H). Finally, the amino group of phenoxazinone interacts with -OH of Tyr477, a group which is involved in the transition state stabilization during the natural substrate cleavage.

For MetAP2 [77], protein-Actinomycin D/X₂ interactions mainly involved the surface of the enzyme (Figure 4A and 4B). The inhibitors are favorably located at the entrance of the active site, thereby blocking




Figure 2: Progress curves for the hydrolysis of Ala-AMC by *Hs*APN in the presence of increasing concentrations of (A) Actinomycin D and (C) Actinocin. The final concentrations of Ala-AMC and *Hs*APN were 50 μ M and 1 nM, respectively. Dixon plots of the inhibition of *Hs*APN by (B) Actinomycin D and (D) Actinocin in the presence of substrate and inhibitor at different concentrations.

substrate inclusion and processing. In the lowest energy conformation, moieties of the cyclic peptide α of Actinomycin D are partially inserted into the active site cavity and surrounded by the hydrophobic enzyme residues: His231, Leu328, His331, Ile338, Pro445 and Leu447 (Figure 4C). The heterocyclic system is exposed to the outside and hardly contributes to this lipophilic binding. Nevertheless, the functional groups of 2-amino-3*H*-phenoxazin-3-one form a set of hydrogen bond contacts. The 2-amino group is bridged between the carboxylate of Asp442 (2.81 Å) and the hydroxyl O atom of Tyr444 (2.94 Å). The latter is also in a favorable position to N-H of d-Val of the cyclic peptide α (2.95 Å). In addition, the 3-oxo group of the inhibitor forms a contact with the N-H of Phe387 (3.10 Å). The β peptidyl ring of Actinomycin D interacts less tightly with the enzyme and only two specific hydrogen bonds are recognized. They involve the Thr residue, the C=O group binds with the side chain -NH₂ of Asn329, and N-H with the hydroxyl O atom of Thr343.

For Actinomycin X₂ the overall ligand-enzyme architecture is somewhat different as the β ring and the heterocyclic system are mostly involved in hydrophobic interactions with Phe219, His231, Ile338, His339, Phe387, His382, Met384, Ala414 and Leu447 of the protein surface (Figure 4D). This fit is emphasized by hydrogen bond contacts of the hydroxyl group of Tyr444 bridged between N-H and C=O of Thr (2.81 Å and 3.06 Å, respectively), and the guanidino group of Arg337 with C=O of D-Val (2.63 Å). The α peptidyl ring of the ligand forms two specific interactions which involve Asn327 and Asn329 (the side chain -NH₂) of the protein, and oxoPro and *N*-MeVal (oxo/C=O, 2.75 Å and 2.89 Å, respectively) of Actinomycin X₂.

Elimination of the cyclic peptide fragments from the structure of Actinomycin D/X₂ allowed the resulting Actinocin to penetrate much further into the active sites of the studied metallopeptidases and to act as a classical competitive ligand by interacting with the metal ions




Figure 3: Modeled mode of binding for Actinomycin D and Actinomycin X₂ to human alanine aminopeptidase (pdb code: 4FYT) [78]. (A and B) The overall views. (C and D) Interactions with the amino acid residues in the inner cavity. Inhibitor and enzyme amino acid residues are shown as sticks, with yellow for the inhibitor. The catalytic zinc ion is shown as a dark blue sphere. The enzyme surface is colored according to the character of the hydrogen bonds formed.

and blocking the catalytic function (Figures 5 and 6). For human APN, the heteroaromatic ring is conveniently positioned in the hydrophobic environment while a functional group oxygen atom is directed towards the Zn ion. Interestingly, flipping the molecule does not seem to disturb the preferential binding. The highest rating docking score was shown for the conformation where the carbonyl oxygen atom is involved in an interaction with the metal cation (1.88 Å, Figure 5A). The oxygen was also in a tight hydrogen bond proximity to the Tyr477 -OH group (2.58 Å). These two contacts and the stabilization of the neighboring amino group by Glu355 (2.88 Å) and Gln213 (3.14 Å), similar to the peptide N-termini, closely resembles the substrate-enzyme complex, including the carbonyl positioning for the nucleophilic water attack. The zinc bidentate complexation with the 9-carboxylate group (1.95 Å and 1.97 Å) was an alternative thermodynamically favored conformation (Figure 5B). In this case, the 3-oxo

group was in close hydrogen bond proximity to the Arg381 guanidine nitrogen atoms (2.65 Å and 2.68 Å, respectively). The 1-carboxylate also formed a potential O-H...O=C contact with the Glu389 side chain group (2.79 Å).

Similarly, the main driving force for the binding of Actinocin to *HsMetAP* was a tight bidentate complexation between one of the cobalt ions and 9-carboxylate (1.26 Å and 1.29 Å, Figure 6). This interaction was further strengthened by the close three-dimensional fit of the molecule in the active site provided by hydrophobic contacts with Phe219, Ala230, Ile338 and His382 and hydrogen bonds. In particular, the latter involved interactions between the 2-amino group and the Ala229 (2.82 Å) and Lys 249 (2.30 Å) oxygen atoms, as well as the 3-oxo group and the Ser224 hydroxyl (2.41 Å). This set of favorable interactions gave rise to the highest theoretical docking score for Actinocin to MetAP and was consistent with the lowest obtained inhibition constant values.




Figure 4: Modeled mode of binding for Actinomycin D and Actinomycin X₂ to human methionine aminopeptidase (pdb code: 5D6E) [79]. (A and B) The overall view. (C and D) Interactions with the amino acid residues at the entrance to the active site. Inhibitor and enzyme amino acid residues are shown as sticks, with yellow for the inhibitor. The cobalt ions are shown as dark purple spheres. Ligand interactions are shown as green lines.

Lacking both carboxylate groups, Questioniomycin A loses the affinity of Actinocin to both APN and MetAP. The decrease in inhibitory activity is not dramatic, approximately one order of magnitude, but demonstrates that the metal-binding moieties are important but not critical for the structure and potency of a good ligand. Indeed, according to the molecular modeling results, the general fit of the heteroaromatic system and specific hydrogen bonds are reproduced for Questioniomycin A in the active sites of the human metalloproteinases (Figure

7A and 7B). For *HsAPN* (Figure 7A) the 3-oxo group of Questioniomycin A is firmly bridged between Arg381 guanidine nitrogen atoms (2.66 Å and 2.70 Å, respectively) while the heteroaromatic ligand core is nestled in the hydrophobic environment of Ala351, Ala353, Val385 and His388. Similarly, the structurally simplest inhibitor reproduces interactions of the functional groups with MetAP. 2-Amino group is hydrogen-bonded with C=O of Ala229 (3.07 Å), Lys 249 (2.88 Å) and Gln457 (2.84 Å), while the 3-oxo group with the Ser224 hydroxyl (2.44 Å).




Figure 5: Modeled modes of binding for Actinocin to human alanine aminopeptidase. (A) Interaction of the carbonyl oxygen with the zinc ion. (B) Interaction of the carboxyl group with the zinc ion. Inhibitor and enzyme amino acid residues are shown as sticks. The catalytic zinc ion is shown as a dark blue sphere. Hydrogen bonds, interactions with metal ions and hydrophobic contacts are shown as green lines.




Figure 6: Modeled mode of binding for Actinocin to human methionine aminopeptidase 2. Inhibitor and enzyme amino acid residues are shown as sticks. Cobalt ions are shown as dark purple spheres. Hydrogen bonds, interactions with metal ions and hydrophobic contacts are shown as green lines.

The lipophilic contacts involve Ala230, His231 and Ile338. Taking in account these close similarities of Questiomycin A *versus* Actinocin binding, it can be concluded that the difference in their activities are predominantly caused by the absence of metal coordination by carboxylates.

PERSPECTIVE AND CONCLUSIONS

Actinomycin D is a long-known drug that was developed as an anticancer agent years before apoptosis and other cell death mechanisms and cancer progression were elucidated. It is still present in the World Health Organization's List of Essential Medicines [78], which contains the most effective and safest medicines required by any proper health system. While ActD is widely used in the treatment of cancer, intensive research on its mechanism of action, as well as on clinical trials with Actinomycin D in combination with other drugs, are progressing. At low concentrations, Actinomycin D inhibits proliferation and induces cell death in neuroblastoma cell lines, as well as tumor regression in xenograft tumor models. Cortes *et al.* proved experimentally that apoptosis is the major cell death mechanism caused by Actinomycin D treatment in p53wt cells, though less so in p53-deficient cell lines [79]. The combination of Actinomycin with drugs as Nutlin-3 [80] and the immunotoxin RG7787 [81] have improved the anticancer activities of the latter by activating apoptosis and p53.

Questiomycin A was demonstrated as a costive agent of apoptotic cell death in the gastric and colon cancer cell line by dysregulating the function of mitochondria, and activating the caspase signaling [68, 69, 74]. Questinomycin A also induced cellular apoptosis by

causing rapid intracellular acidification in several cancer cells [69, 70, 72, 74], generating reactive oxygen species in human lung adenocarcinoma cells and human glioblastoma cell line [71, 72] and by DNA laddering and upregulated Fas expression in mouse melanoma B16 cells [75]. Direct connection of Questiomycin with p53 still remains an issue to be elucidated. There are deep premises that it exists. The cell-surface receptor FAS, a member of the TNF-R family of receptors, is a key component of the extrinsic death pathway [82]. It has been reported that p53 can activate the extrinsic apoptotic pathway through the induction of genes encoding transmembrane protein FAS [83] that could be unregulated by Questiomycin A [75].

In contrast, aminopeptidases have also been subject to intensive research in oncological studies. Accordingly, in recent years, methionine aminopeptidase type 2, as well as alanyl aminopeptidase, have become extremely specialized targets for the development of new inhibitors [48, 58–63, 76, 77, 84, 85]. Most known MetAP2 inhibitors are irreversible binding synthetic analogues of fumagillin [77, 86], two of which, TNP-470 and Beloranib, have advanced to clinical trials. TNP-47 has been shown to inhibit angiogenesis *in vitro* and *in vivo*. It entered clinical development for cancer as an anti-angiogenic agent and has achieved Phase I/II trials for Kaposi's sarcoma, renal cell carcinoma, brain cancer, breast cancer, cervical cancer and prostate cancer. However, the trials have not led to its approval for clinical applications. Beloranib was originally designed as an angiogenesis inhibitor similar to TNP-470 for the treatment of cancer. Once the potential anti-obesity effects of MetAP2 inhibition became apparent during trials, clinical development began to focus on these effects, and Beloranib has shown positive results




Figure 7: Modeled modes of binding for Questiomycin A to (A) human alanine aminopeptidase and (B) methionine aminopeptidase 2. Inhibitor and enzyme amino acid residues are shown as sticks. The catalytic zinc ion is shown as a dark blue sphere. Hydrogen bonds and hydrophobic contacts are shown as green lines.

in preliminary clinical trials for this indication [87]. Moreover, there are tens of reversible inhibitors of both natural and synthetic origin. They include anthranilic acid sulfonamides [88], bengamides [89], benzoselnazolones [63] and compounds based on bestatin [90], 1,2,4-triazole [91], and pyrazolo[4,3-b]indole [92]. Research on new, reversible agents that are safe for organisms is ongoing.

The most known and widely studied reversible APN inhibitors, Bestatin and CHR-2797, also known under the commercial names Ubenimex and Tosedostat, respectively, have been investigated clinically for their anticancer effects. Ubenimex is approved for the treatment of lung cancer and nasopharyngeal cancer, and Tosedostat showed significant anti-leukemic activities in Phase I/II clinical trials. Currently, Phase I/II studies of Tosedostat in combination with other chemotherapeutic agents are in progress for AML and metastatic pancreatic adenocarcinoma [93]. Compounds with APN inhibitory activities improved the anti-metastasis and anti-angiogenesis effects *in vivo* [48, 94]. Additionally, intensive studies on enzyme structure specificity led to the development of highly active competitive inhibitors of APN, with inhibition constants in the nanomolar range. Phosphonic and phosphinic analogues of amino acid and dipeptides [95, 96], benzosuberone [61], naturally occurring curcumin [97] and even more recently, a cyclic peptide inhibitor [84] can be counted in this group of compounds.

The recent research on Actinomycin D highlights its huge therapeutic potential and suggests that low doses of this drug could be used in combination with other agents to take advantage of its unique activity and avoid non-specific effects. Nevertheless, there is no correlation between these properties and the inhibition of the neutral aminopeptidases MetAP2 and APN that is highly important in cancer progression. Blocking the activity of MetAP2 and APN with Actinomycin D or its analogs seems to be promising for the development of new generations of potent anticancer agents that would be implicated in different mechanisms of action and directed against multiple molecular targets. In view of the network nature of cancer [98], the use of combinations of inhibitors appears to be indicated, as well as alternative drugs with multiple specificities. Actinomycin D may prove to be such a drug for targeting a network rather than a single molecule. The discovery reported here may contribute to the development of better therapies.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials and general methods

Actinomycin D was purchased as a lyophilized powder from Sigma-Aldrich (Poznan, Poland), Actinomycin X₂ was purchased from Adipogen (Liestal, Switzerland). Actinocine and Questiomycin A

were synthesized according to a previously described procedure [99]. Recombinant human APN and MetAP2 were purchased as a lyophilized powder from R&D Systems (Minneapolis, USA). Fluorogenic substrates Ala-AMC (L-alanine-4-methylcoumaryl-7-amide) and Met-AMC (L-methionine-4-methylcoumaryl-7-amide) were purchased from PeptaNova (Sandhausen, Germany). Spectrofluorometry was performed on a Spectra MAX Gemini EM fluorimeter (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, USA) in a 96-well plate format (Excitation = 355 nm, Emission = 460 nm). Calculations of the kinetic parameters were performed using the SoftMax Pro, GraphPad Prism and Microsoft Excel programs.

Enzyme activation

Recombinant human APN enzyme was dissolved in 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH = 7.0) and used directly in the kinetic experiments. Recombinant human MetAP2 was obtained as a lyophilized powder from R&D Systems. The enzyme was dissolved in 100 mM Tris-maleate buffer containing 0.1 mM CoCl₂, 100 mM NaCl and 0.075 % v/v albumin at pH = 7.5 and incubated for 30 minutes. After this activation, the enzyme was used directly in the kinetic experiments.

Inhibition constant determination

The inhibitors were screened against human APN and human MetAP2 at 37°C in the assay buffer as described above. For the steady-state measurements, the enzymes were preincubated for 30-60 min at 37°C with an inhibitor before adding the substrate (Ala-AMC, 40 μM for human APN or Met-AMC and 50 μM for MetAP2) to the wells. For the initial state measurements, the enzymes were preincubated for 15-30 min at 37°C before adding the substrate and inhibitor to the wells. The following conditions were used: (1) total volume 100 μL, (2) eight different inhibitor concentrations, and (3) enzyme concentration of 0.3 nM for human APN and 1 nM for MetAP2. The release of the AMC fluorophore was monitored. The linear portion of the progress curve was used to calculate the velocity. hPhePCH₂Phe [62, 95] for APN and ebselen [63] for MetAP2 were used as a positive control. The inhibition mechanism was determined from the Lineweaver-Burk and Dixon plot (Figure 2B and 2D). The IC_{50} values were calculated from the reaction velocity to inhibitor concentration plot fitted to the equation $\log[I]$ vs. response. The K_i values were equal to the IC_{50} for non-competitive inhibitors [66] and were calculated for competitive inhibitors using the following equation: $K_i = (IC_{50} - [E])/2 / (1 + ([S]/K_m))$ [66], where the IC_{50} is the concentration of the inhibitor that effected 50% inhibition, [S] and K_m are the substrate concentration and Michaelis constant in the absence of inhibitor, respectively, and [E] is the concentration of the enzyme.

Molecular modeling

Molecular modeling studies were performed using the Biovia Discovery Studio program package (San Diego, Ca, USA). The crystal structures of human aminopeptidase N (CD13) in complex with amastatin (pdb id. 4FYT) [76] and methionine aminopeptidase type 2 (pdb id. 5D6E) [77] were used as the starting points for the calculations of the enzymes complexes with Actinomycin D, Actinomycin X₂, Actinocin and Questionomycin A. The enzyme models were protonated at pH 7.5 and minimized with the CHARMM force field. The water molecules and former ligands were removed. New ligands were protonated at the same pH and the partial charges of all the atoms were computed using the Momany-Rone algorithm. Minimizations used the CHARMM force field with the Smart Minimizer algorithm up to an RMS gradient of 0.1 Å. Actinomycin D, Actinomycin X₂, Actinocin and Questionomycin A were docked into the enzyme active center using the LibDock docking algorithm. The number of Hotspots was changed from 100 to 1000 (standard method). The 'BEST' Conformation Method was selected and the minimization of the results was achieved using the CHARMM force field.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was co-financed by a statutory activity subsidy from the Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education for the Faculty of Chemistry of Wrocław University of Technology and by the Wrocław Centre of Biotechnology program for The Leading National Research Centre (KNOW) from 2014-2018. Ewelina Węglarz-Tomczak is co-financed by a grant Mobilność Plus V from the Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education (Grant no. 1639/MOB/V/2017/0). The Biovia Discovery Studio package was used under a Polish country-wide license. The use of software resources (Biovia Discovery Studio program package) by the Wrocław Centre for Networking and Supercomputing is acknowledged.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Cragg GM, Newman DJ. Natural products: a continuing source of novel drug leads. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. 2013; 1830:3670–95. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbagen.2013.02.008>.
2. Waksman SA, Woodruff HB. Bacteriostatic and bacteriocidal substances produced by soil actinomycetes. *Proc Soc Exper Biol*. 1940; 45:609–14. <https://doi.org/10.3181/00379727-45-11768>.
3. "Dactinomycin". The American Society of Health-System Pharmacists. Retrieved 8 December 2016.
4. Goldberg IH, Rabinowitz M, Reich E. Basis of actinomycin action. I. DNA binding and inhibition of RNA-polymerase synthetic reactions by actinomycin. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 1962; 48:2094–101. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.48.12.2094>.
5. D'Angio GJ, Evans A, Breslow N, Beckwith B, Bishop H, Farewell V, Goodwin W, Leape L, Palmer N, Sinks L, Sutow W, Tefft M, Wolff J. The treatment of Wilms' tumor: results of the Second National Wilms' Tumor Study. *Cancer*. 1981; 47:2302–11. [https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-0142\(19810501\)47:9<2302::AID-CNCR2820470933>3.0.CO;2-K](https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-0142(19810501)47:9<2302::AID-CNCR2820470933>3.0.CO;2-K).
6. Turan T, Karacay O, Tulunay G, Boran N, Koc S, Bozk S, Kose MF. Results with EMA/CO (etoposide, methotrexate, actinomycin D, cyclophosphamide, vincristine) chemotherapy in gestational trophoblastic neoplasia. *Int J Gynecol Cancer*. 2006; 16:1432–38. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1525-1438.2006.00606.x>.
7. Malogolowkin M, Cotton CA, Green DM, Breslow NE, Perlman E, Miser J, Ritchey ML, Thomas PR, Grundy PE, D'Angio GJ, Beckwith JB, Shamberger RC, Haase GM, et al, and National Wilms Tumor Study Group. Treatment of Wilms tumor relapsing after initial treatment with vincristine, actinomycin D, and doxorubicin. A report from the National Wilms Tumor Study Group. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. 2008; 50:236–41. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pbc.21267>.
8. Khatua S, Nair CN, Ghosh K. Immune-mediated thrombocytopenia following dactinomycin therapy in a child with alveolar rhabdomyosarcoma: the unresolved issues. *J Pediatr Hematol Oncol*. 2004; 26:777–79. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00043426-200411000-00020>.
9. Jaffe N, Paed D, Traggis D, Salian S, Cassady JR. Improved outlook for Ewing's sarcoma with combination chemotherapy (vincristine, actinomycin D and cyclophosphamide) and radiation therapy. *Cancer*. 1976; 38:1925–30. [https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-0142\(197611\)38:5<1925::AID-CNCR2820380510>3.0.CO;2-J](https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-0142(197611)38:5<1925::AID-CNCR2820380510>3.0.CO;2-J).
10. Perry RP, Kelley DE. Inhibition of RNA synthesis by actinomycin D: characteristic dose-response of different RNA species. *J Cell Physiol*. 1970; 76:127–39. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jcp.1040760202>.
11. Takusagawa F, Dabrow M, Neidle S, Berman HM. The structure of a pseudo intercalated complex between actinomycin and the DNA binding sequence d(GpC). *Nature*. 1982; 296:466–69. <https://doi.org/10.1038/296466a0>.
12. Kamitori S, Takusagawa F. Crystal structure of the 2:1 complex between d(GAAGCTTC) and the anticancer drug actinomycin D. *J Mol Biol*. 1992; 225:445–56. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-2836\(92\)90931-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-2836(92)90931-9).
13. Kleeff J, Kornmann M, Sawhney H, Korc M. Actinomycin D induces apoptosis and inhibits growth of pancreatic cancer cells. *Int J Cancer*. 2000; 86:399–407. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1097-0215\(20000501\)86:3<399::AID-IJC15>3.0.CO;2-G](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0215(20000501)86:3<399::AID-IJC15>3.0.CO;2-G).
14. Narita Y, Asai A, Kuchino Y, Kirino T. Actinomycin D and staurosporine, potent apoptosis inducers *in vitro*, are potentially effective chemotherapeutic agents against

- glioblastoma multiforme. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol*. 2000; 45:149–56. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s002800050023>.
15. Sato H, Abe Y, Noguchi M, Kurokawa K, Sakai H. Inhibitory effect of thyrotropic hormone on apoptosis induced by actinomycin D in a functioning rat thyroid cell line. *Endocr J*. 1999; 46:309–15. <https://doi.org/10.1507/endocrj.46.309>.
 16. Glazyrin AL, Chinni S, Alhasan S, Adsay VN, Vaitkevicius VK, Sarkar FH. Molecular mechanism(s) of actinomycin-D induced sensitization of pancreatic cancer cells to CD95 mediated apoptosis. *Int J Oncol*. 2002; 20:201–05.
 17. Morgan CD, Greene JF Jr, Measel JW Jr. Induction of surface antigen CD69 expression in T-lymphocytes following exposure to actinomycin D. *Int J Immunopharmacol*. 1999; 21:689–703. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0192-0561\(99\)00045-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0192-0561(99)00045-4).
 18. Chou CC, Lam CY, Yung BY. Intracellular ATP is required for actinomycin D-induced apoptotic cell death in HeLa cells. *Cancer Lett*. 1995; 96:181–87. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3835\(95\)03927-O](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3835(95)03927-O).
 19. Koba M, Konopa J. Actinomycin D and its mechanisms of action. [Article in Polish] *Postepy Hig Med Dosw (Online)*. 2005; 59:290–98.
 20. Chen CS, Ho DR, Chen FY, Chen CR, Ke YD, Su JG. AKT mediates actinomycin D-induced p53 expression. *Oncotarget*. 2014; 5:693–703. <https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.1328>.
 21. Chen Y, Liu J, Yuan B, Cao C, Qin S, Cao X, Bian G, Wang Z, Jiang J. Methylated actinomycin D, a novel actinomycin D analog induces apoptosis in HepG2 cells through Fas- and mitochondria-mediated pathways. *Mol Carcinog*. 2013; 52:983–96. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mc.21943>.
 22. Choong ML, Yang H, Lee MA, Lane DP. Specific activation of the p53 pathway by low dose actinomycin D: a new route to p53 based cyclotherapy. *Cell Cycle*. 2009; 8:2810–18. <https://doi.org/10.4161/cc.8.17.9503>.
 23. Merkel O, Wacht N, Siffert E, Melchardt T, Hamacher F, Kocher T, Denk U, Hofbauer JP, Egle A, Scheideler M, Schleder M, Steurer M, Kenner L, Greil R. Actinomycin D induces p53-independent cell death and prolongs survival in high-risk chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Leukemia*. 2012; 26:2508–16. <https://doi.org/10.1038/leu.2012.147>.
 24. Hietanen S, Lain S, Krausz E, Blattner C, Lane DP. Activation of p53 in cervical carcinoma cells by small molecules. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2000; 97:8501–06. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.97.15.8501>.
 25. Barry CE 3rd, Nayar PG, Begley TP. Phenoxazinone synthase: mechanism for the formation of the phenoxazinone chromophore of actinomycin. *Biochemistry*. 1989; 28:6323–33. <https://doi.org/10.1021/bi00441a026>.
 26. Williams TL, DiBona CW, Dinneen SR, Labadie SF, Chu F, Deravi LF. Contributions of phenoxazine-based pigments to the structure and function of nanostructured granules in squid chromatophores. *Langmuir*. 2016; 32:3754–59. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.langmuir.6b00243>.
 27. Carr G, Tay W, Bottriell H, Andersen SK, Mauk AG, Andersen RJ. Plectosphaeroic acids A, B, and C, indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase inhibitors produced in culture by a marine isolate of the fungus *Plectosphaerella cucumerina*. *Org Lett*. 2009; 11:2996–99. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ol900972j>.
 28. Macías FA, Marín D, Oliveros-Bastidas A, Chinchilla D, Simonet AM, Molinillo JM. Isolation and synthesis of allelochemicals from gramineae: benzoxazinones and related compounds. *J Agric Food Chem*. 2006; 54:991–1000. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf050896x>.
 29. Anzai K, Isono K, Okuma K, Suzuki S. The new antibiotics, questiomycins A and B. *J Antibiot (Tokyo)*. 1960; 13:125–32.
 30. Iwata A, Yamaguchi T, Sato K, Izumi R, Tomoda A. Antiviral activity of 2-amino-4,4 α -dihydro-4 α -7-dimethyl-3H-phenoxazine-3-one on poliovirus. *Tohoku J Exp Med*. 2003; 200:161–65. <https://doi.org/10.1620/tjem.200.161>.
 31. Shimamoto T, Tomoda A, Ishida R, Ohyashiki K. Antitumor effects of a novel phenoxazine derivative on human leukemia cell lines *in vitro* and *in vivo*. *Clin Cancer Res*. 2001; 7:704–08.
 32. Igarashi Y, Takagi K, Kajiura T, Furumai T, Oki T. Glucosylquestiomycin, a novel antibiotic from *Microbispora* sp. TP-A0184: fermentation, isolation, structure determination, synthesis and biological activities. *J Antibiot (Tokyo)*. 1998; 51:915–20. <https://doi.org/10.7164/antibiotics.51.915>.
 33. Shimizu S, Suzuki M, Tomoda A, Arai S, Taguchi H, Hanawa T, Kamiya S. Phenoxazine compounds produced by the reactions with bovine hemoglobin show antimicrobial activity against non-tuberculosis mycobacteria. *Tohoku J Exp Med*. 2004; 203:47–52. <https://doi.org/10.1620/tjem.203.47>.
 34. Selvakumar P, Lakshmikuttyamma A, Dimmock JR, Sharma RK. Methionine aminopeptidase 2 and cancer. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. 2006; 1765:148–54.
 35. Mina-Osorio P. The moonlighting enzyme CD13: old and new functions to target. *Trends Mol Med*. 2008; 14:361–71. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molmed.2008.06.003>.
 36. Sato Y. Role of aminopeptidase in angiogenesis. *Biol Pharm Bull*. 2004; 27:772–76. <https://doi.org/10.1248/bpb.27.772>.
 37. Yang G, Kirkpatrick RB, Ho T, Zhang GF, Liang PH, Johanson KO, Casper DJ, Doyle ML, Marino JP Jr, Thompson SK, Chen W, Tew DG, Meek TD. Steady-state kinetic characterization of substrates and metal-ion specificities of the full-length and N-terminally truncated recombinant human methionine aminopeptidases (type 2). *Biochemistry*. 2001; 40:10645–54. <https://doi.org/10.1021/bi010806r>.
 38. Wang J, Sheppard GS, Lou P, Kawai M, Park C, Egan DA, Schneider A, Bouska J, Lesniewski R, Henkin J. Physiologically relevant metal cofactor for methionine aminopeptidase-2 is manganese. *Biochemistry*. 2003; 42:5035–42. <https://doi.org/10.1021/bi020670c>.
 39. Catalano A, Romano M, Robuffo I, Strizzi L, Procopio A. Methionine aminopeptidase-2 regulates human mesothelioma cell survival: role of Bcl-2 expression and telomerase activity. *Am J Pathol*. 2001; 159:721–31. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0002-9440\(10\)61743-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0002-9440(10)61743-9).

40. Shusterman S, Maris JM. Prospects for therapeutic inhibition of neuroblastoma angiogenesis. *Cancer Lett.* 2005; 228:171–79. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.canlet.2005.01.049>.
41. Selvakumar P, Selvakumar P, Lakshmikuttyamma A, Kanthan R, Kanthan SC, Dimmock JR, Sharma RK. High expression of methionine aminopeptidase 2 in human colorectal adenocarcinomas. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2004; 10:2771–75. <https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-03-0218>.
42. Griffith EC, Su Z, Turk BE, Chen S, Chang YH, Wu Z, Biemann K, Liu JO. Methionine aminopeptidase (type 2) is the common target for angiogenesis inhibitors AGM-1470 and ovalicin. *Chem Biol.* 1997; 4:461–71. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1074-5521\(97\)90198-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1074-5521(97)90198-8).
43. Kanno T, Endo H, Takeuchi K, Morishita Y, Fukayama M, Mori S. High expression of methionine aminopeptidase type 2 in germinal center B cells and their neoplastic counterparts. *Mori Lab. Invest.* 2002. *Lab Invest.* 2002; 82:893–901.
44. Wu S, Gupta S, Chatterjee N, Hileman RE, Kinzy TG, Denslow ND, Merrick WC, Chakrabarti D, Osterman JC, Gupta NK. Cloning and characterization of complementary DNA encoding the eukaryotic initiation factor 2-associated 67-kDa protein (p67). *J Biol Chem.* 1993; 268:10796–801.
45. Antczak C, De Meester I, Bauvois B. Ectopeptidases in pathophysiology. *BioEssays.* 2001; 23:251–60. [https://doi.org/10.1002/1521-1878\(200103\)23:3<251::AID-BIES1035>3.0.CO;2-O](https://doi.org/10.1002/1521-1878(200103)23:3<251::AID-BIES1035>3.0.CO;2-O).
46. Drag M, Bogyo M, Ellman JA, Salvesen GS. Aminopeptidase fingerprints, an integrated approach for identification of good substrates and optimal inhibitors. *J Biol Chem.* 2010; 285:3310–18. <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M109.060418>.
47. Luan Y, Xu W. The structure and main functions of aminopeptidase N. *Curr Med Chem.* 2007; 14:639–47. <https://doi.org/10.2174/092986707780059571>.
48. Drinkwater N, Lee J, Yang W, Malcolm TR, McGowan S. M1 aminopeptidases as drug targets: broad applications or therapeutic niche? *FEBS J.* 2017; 284:1473–88. <https://doi.org/10.1111/febs.14009>.
49. Fujii H, Nakajima M, Saiki I, Yoneda J, Azuma I, Tsuruo T. Human melanoma invasion and metastasis enhancement by high expression of aminopeptidase N/CD13. *Clin Exp Metastasis.* 1995; 13:337–44. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00121910>.
50. Kitamura Y, Watanabe M, Komatsubara S, Sakata Y. Urinary excretion of glycine-proline dipeptidyle aminopeptidase, N-acetyl-beta-D-glucosaminidase, alanine aminopeptidase and low molecular protein in patients with renal cell carcinoma. [Article in Japanese] *Hinyokika Kyo.* 1990; 36:535–39.
51. Hashida H, Takabayashi A, Kanai M, Adachi M, Kondo K, Kohno N, Yamaoka Y, Miyake M. Aminopeptidase N is involved in cell motility and angiogenesis: its clinical significance in human colon cancer. *Gastroenterology.* 2002; 122:376–86. <https://doi.org/10.1053/gast.2002.31095>.
52. Carl-McGrath S, Lendeckel U, Ebert M, Wolter AB, Roessner A, Röcken C. The ectopeptidases CD10, CD13, CD26, and CD143 are upregulated in gastric cancer. *Int J Oncol.* 2004; 25:1223–32.
53. Nohara S, Kato K, Fujiwara D, Sakuragi N, Yanagihara K, Iwanuma Y, Kajiyama Y, Aminopeptidase N. Aminopeptidase N (APN/CD13) as a target molecule for scirrhou gastric cancer. *Clin Res Hepatol Gastroenterol.* 2016; 40:494–503. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinre.2015.11.003>.
54. Pang L, Zhang N, Xia Y, Wang D, Wang G, Meng X. Serum APN/CD13 as a novel diagnostic and prognostic biomarker of pancreatic cancer. *Oncotarget.* 2016; 7:77854–64. <https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.12835>.
55. Kehlen A, Lendeckel U, Dralle H, Langner J, Hoang-Vu C. Biological significance of aminopeptidase N/CD13 in thyroid carcinomas. *Cancer Res.* 2003; 63:8500–06.
56. Taussig DC, Pearce DJ, Simpson C, Rohatiner AZ, Lister TA, Kelly G, Luongo JL, Danet-Desnoyers GA, Bonnet D. Hematopoietic stem cells express multiple myeloid markers: implications for the origin and targeted therapy of acute myeloid leukemia. *Blood.* 2005; 106:4086–92. <https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2005-03-1072>.
57. Saiki I, Fujii H, Yoneda J, Abe F, Nakajima M, Tsuruo T, Azuma I. Role of aminopeptidase N (CD13) in tumor-cell invasion and extracellular matrix degradation. *Int J Cancer.* 1993; 54:137–43. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.2910540122>.
58. Piedfer M, Dauzonne D, Tang R, N'Guyen J, Billard C, Bauvois B. Aminopeptidase-N/CD13 is a potential proapoptotic target in human myeloid tumor cells. *FASEB J.* 2011; 25:2831–42. <https://doi.org/10.1096/fj.11-181396>.
59. Wickström M, Larsson R, Nygren P, Gullbo J. Aminopeptidase N (CD13) as a target for cancer chemotherapy. *Cancer Sci.* 2011; 102:501–08. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1349-7006.2010.01826.x>.
60. Poreba M, Gajda A, Picha J, Jiracek J, Marschner A, Klein CD, Salvesen GS, Drag M. S1 pocket fingerprints of human and bacterial methionine aminopeptidases determined using fluorogenic libraries of substrates and phosphorus based inhibitors. *Biochimie.* 2012; 94:704–10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biochi.2011.10.014>.
61. Revelant G, Al-Lakkis-Wehbe M, Schmitt M, Alavi S, Schmitt C, Roux L, Al-Masri M, Schifano-Faux N, Maieranu C, Tarnus C, Albrecht S. Exploring S1 plasticity and probing S1' subsite of mammalian aminopeptidase N/CD13 with highly potent and selective aminobenzosuberone inhibitors. *Bioorg Med Chem.* 2015; 23:3192–207. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmc.2015.04.066>.
62. Mucha A, Drag M, Dalton JP, Kafarski P. Metallo-aminopeptidase inhibitors. *Biochimie.* 2010; 92:1509–29. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biochi.2010.04.026>.
63. Węglarz-Tomczak E, Burda-Grabowska M, Giurg M, Mucha A. Identification of methionine aminopeptidase 2 as a molecular target of the organoselenium drug ebselen and its derivatives/analogues: Synthesis, inhibitory activity and

- molecular modeling study. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett.* 2016; 26:5254–59. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2016.09.050>.
64. Kurosawa K, Bui VP, VanEssendelft JL, Willis LB, Lessard PA, Ghiviriga I, Sambandan TG, Rha CK, Sinsky AJ. Characterization of *Streptomyces* MITKK-103, a newly isolated actinomycin X2-producer. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol.* 2006; 72:145–54. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-005-0240-2>.
65. Liu J, Xie S, Wu Y, Xu M, Ao C, Wang W, Zeng Q, Hu W, Li M. Apoptosis of human prostate cancer cells induced by marine actinomycin X2 through the mTOR pathway compounded by MiRNA144. *Anticancer Drugs.* 2016; 27:156–63. <https://doi.org/10.1097/CAD.0000000000000309>.
66. Cer RZ, Mudunuri U, Stephens R, Lebeda FJ. IC50-to-Ki: a web-based tool for converting IC50 to Ki values for inhibitors of enzyme activity and ligand binding. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2009; 37:W441-5. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkp253>.
67. Bolognese A, Correale G, Manfra M, Lavecchia A, Mazzoni O, Novellino E, Barone V, Pani A, Tramontano E, La Colla P, Murgioni C, Serra I, Setzu G, Loddo R. Antitumor agents. 1. Synthesis, biological evaluation, and molecular modeling of 5H-pyrido[3,2-a]phenoxazin-5-one, a compound with potent antiproliferative activity. *J Med Chem.* 2002; 45:5205–16. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jm020913z>.
68. Nakachi T, Tabuchi T, Takasaki A, Arai S, Miyazawa K, Tomoda A. Anticancer activity of phenoxazines produced by bovine erythrocytes on colon cancer cells. *Oncol Rep.* 2010; 23:1517–22.
69. Tomoda A, Miyazawa K, Tabuchi T. Prevention of carcinogenesis and development of gastric and colon cancers by 2-aminophenoxazine-3-one (Phx-3): direct and indirect anti-cancer activity of Phx-3. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2013; 14:17573–83. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms140917573>.
70. Che XF, Akiyama S, Tomoda A. Suppression of the proliferation of cancer cell lines, KB-3-1 and K562 cells preceded by a decrease in intracellular pH caused by phenoxazine derivatives. *Oncol Rep.* 2008; 19:1253–58.
71. Che XF, Moriya S, Zheng CL, Abe A, Tomoda A, Miyazawa K. 2-Aminophenoxazine-3-one-induced apoptosis via generation of reactive oxygen species followed by c-jun N-terminal kinase activation in the human glioblastoma cell line LN229. *Int J Oncol.* 2013; 43:1456–66. <https://doi.org/10.3892/ijo.2013.2088>.
72. Che XF, Zheng CL, Akiyama S, Tomoda A. 2-Aminophenoxazine-3-one and 2-amino-4,4 α -dihydro-4 α ,7-dimethyl-3H-phenoxazine-3-one cause cellular apoptosis by reducing higher intracellular pH in cancer cells. *Proc Jpn Acad, Ser B, Phys Biol Sci.* 2011; 87:199–213. <https://doi.org/10.2183/pjab.87.199>.
73. Shirato K, Imaizumi K, Miyazawa K, Takasaki A, Mizuguchi J, Che XF, Akiyama S, Tomoda A. Apoptosis induction preceded by mitochondrial depolarization in multiple myeloma cell line U266 by 2-aminophenoxazine-3-one. *Biol Pharm Bull.* 2008; 31:62–67. <https://doi.org/10.1248/bpb.31.62>.
74. Nagata H, Che XF, Miyazawa K, Tomoda A, Konishi M, Ubukata H, Tabuchi T. Rapid decrease of intracellular pH associated with inhibition of Na⁺/H⁺ exchanger precedes apoptotic events in the MNK45 and MNK74 gastric cancer cell lines treated with 2-aminophenoxazine-3-one. *Oncol Rep.* 2011; 25:341–46.
75. Hongo T, Miyano-Kurosaki N, Kurosaki K, Hata A, Harigae S, Tomoda A. 2-aminophenoxazine-3-one prevents pulmonary metastasis of mouse B16 melanoma cells in mice. *J Pharmacol Sci.* 2010; 114:63–68. <https://doi.org/10.1254/jphs.10023FP>.
76. Wong AH, Zhou D, Rini JM. The X-ray crystal structure of human aminopeptidase N reveals a novel dimer and the basis for peptide processing. *J Biol Chem.* 2012; 287:36804–13. <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M112.398842>.
77. Morgen M, Jöst C, Malz M, Janowski R, Niessing D, Klein CD, Gunkel N, Miller AK. Spiroepoxytriazoles are fumagillin-like irreversible inhibitors of metap2 with potent cellular activity. *ACS Chem Biol.* 2016; 11:1001–11. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acscmbio.5b00755>.
78. http://www.who.int/medicines/services/essmedicines_def/en/.
79. Cortes CL, Veiga SR, Almacellas E, Hernández-Losa J, Ferreres JC, Kozma SC, Ambrosio S, Thomas G, Tauler A. Effect of low doses of actinomycin D on neuroblastoma cell lines. *Mol Cancer.* 2016; 15:1. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12943-015-0489-8>.
80. Tzaridis T, Milde T, Pajtlér KW, Bender S, Jones DT, Müller S, Wittmann A, Schlotter M, Kulozik AE, Lichter P, Peter Collins V, Witt O, Kool M, et al. Low-dose Actinomycin-D treatment re-establishes the tumoursuppressive function of P53 in RELA-positive ependymoma. *Oncotarget.* 2016; 7:61860–73. <https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.11452>.
81. Liu XF, Xiang L, Zhou Q, Carralot JP, Prunotto M, Niederfellner G, Pastan I. Actinomycin D enhances killing of cancer cells by immunotoxin RG7787 through activation of the extrinsic pathway of apoptosis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 2016; 113:10666–71. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1611481113>.
82. Nagata S, Golstein P. The Fas death factor. *Science.* 1995; 267:1449–56. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.7533326>.
83. Haupt S, Berger M, Goldberg Z, Haupt Y. Apoptosis - the p53 network. *J Cell Sci.* 2003; 116:4077–85. <https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.00739>.
84. Joshi S, Chen L, Winter MB, Lin YL, Yang Y, Shapovalova M, Smith PM, Liu C, Li F, LeBeau AM. The rational design of therapeutic peptides for aminopeptidase n using a substrate-based approach. *Sci Rep.* 2017; 7:1424. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-01542-5>.
85. Santiago C, Mudgal G, Reguera J, Recacha R, Albrecht S, Enjuanes L, Casasnovas JM. Allosteric inhibition of aminopeptidase N functions related to tumor growth and virus infection. *Sci Rep.* 2017; 7:46045. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep46045>.
86. Logothetis CJ, Wu KK, Finn LD, Daliani D, Figg W, Ghaddar H, Gutterman JU. Phase I trial of the angiogenesis

- inhibitor TNP-470 for progressive androgen-independent prostate cancer. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2001; 7:1198–203.
87. Ehlers T, Furness S, Robinson TP, Zhong HA, Goldsmith D, Aribser J, Bowen JP. Methionine aminopeptidase type-2 inhibitors targeting angiogenesis. *Curr Top Med Chem.* 2016; 16:1478–88. <https://doi.org/10.2174/1568026615666150915121204>.
 88. Kawai M, BaMaung NY, Fidanze SD, Erickson SA, Tedrow JS, Sanders WJ, Vasudevan A, Park C, Hutchins C, Comess KM, Kalvin D, Wang J, Zhang Q, et al. Development of sulfonamide compounds as potent methionine aminopeptidase type II inhibitors with antiproliferative properties. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett.* 2006; 16:3574–77. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2006.03.085>.
 89. White KN, Tenney K, Crews P. The Bengamides: A Mini-Review of natural sources, analogues, biological properties, biosynthetic origins, and future prospects. *J Nat Prod.* 2017; 80:740–55. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jnatprod.6b00970>.
 90. Sheppard GS, Wang J, Kawai M, BaMaung NY, Craig RA, Erickson SA, Lynch L, Patel J, Yang F, Searle XB, Lou P, Park C, Kim KH, et al. 3-Amino-2-hydroxyamides and related compounds as inhibitors of methionine aminopeptidase-2. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett.* 2004; 14:865–68. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2003.12.031>.
 91. Heinrich T, Buchstaller HP, Cezanne B, Rohdich F, Bomke J, Friese-Hamim M, Krier M, Knöchel T, Musil D, Leuthner B, Zenke F. Novel reversible methionine aminopeptidase-2 (MetAP-2) inhibitors based on purine and related bicyclic templates. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett.* 2017; 27:551–56. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2016.12.019>.
 92. McBride C, Cheruvallath Z, Komandla M, Tang M, Farrell P, Lawson JD, Vanderpool D, Wu Y, Dougan DR, Plonowski A, Holub C, Larson C. Discovery of potent, reversible MetAP2 inhibitors via fragment based drug discovery and structure based drug design-Part 2. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett.* 2016; 26:2779–83. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2016.04.072>.
 93. Löwenberg B, Morgan G, Ossenkoppele GJ, Burnett AK, Zachée P, Dührsen U, Dierickx D, Müller-Tidow C, Sonneveld P, Krug U, Bone E, Flores N, Richardson AF, et al. Phase I/II clinical study of Tosedostat, an inhibitor of aminopeptidases, in patients with acute myeloid leukemia and myelodysplasia. *J Clin Oncol.* 2010; 28:4333–38. <https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2009.27.6295>.
 94. Su L, Jia Y, Zhang L, Xu Y, Fang H, Xu W. Design, synthesis and biological evaluation of novel amino acid ureido derivatives as aminopeptidase N/CD13 inhibitors. *Bioorg Med Chem.* 2012; 20:3807–15. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmc.2012.04.035>.
 95. Vassiliou S, Węglarz-Tomczak E, Berlicki Ł, Pawełczak M, Nocek B, Mulligan R, Joachimiak A, Mucha A. Structure-guided, single-point modifications in the phosphinic dipeptide structure yield highly potent and selective inhibitors of neutral aminopeptidases. *J Med Chem.* 2014; 57:8140–51. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jm501071f>.
 96. Węglarz-Tomczak E, Berlicki Ł, Pawełczak M, Nocek B, Joachimiak A, Mucha A. A structural insight into the P1S1 binding mode of diaminoethylphosphonic and phosphinic acids, selective inhibitors of alanine aminopeptidases. *Eur J Med Chem.* 2016; 117:187–96. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2016.04.018>.
 97. Shim JS, Kim JH, Cho HY, Yum YN, Kim SH, Park HJ, Shim BS, Choi SH, Kwon HJ. Irreversible inhibition of CD13/aminopeptidase N by the antiangiogenic agent curcumin. *Chem Biol.* 2003; 10:695–704. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1074-5521\(03\)00169-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1074-5521(03)00169-8).
 98. Hornberg JJ, Bruggeman FJ, Westerhoff HV, Lankelma J. Cancer: a Systems Biology disease. *Biosystems.* 2006; 83:81–90. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biosystems.2005.05.014>.
 99. Giurg M, Piekalska K, Gębala M, Ditkowski B, Wolański M, Peczyńska-Czoch W, Młochowski J. Catalytic oxidative cyclocondensation of o-aminophenols to 2-amino-3H-phenoxazin-3-ones. *Synth Commun.* 2007; 37:1779–89. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00397910701316136>.